



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/719,460	12/11/2000	Tomoyuki Asano	450101-02452	8319
20999	7590	12/09/2005	EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			TRAN, TONGOC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/719,460

Applicant(s)

ASANO ET AL.

Examiner

Tongoc Tran

Art Unit

2134

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 September 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4, 6-28, 31, 33-55, 60-82 and 87-107 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Applicant's Request for Continued Examination filed on 9/20/2005. Claims 1, 28, 55 and 82 have been amended. Claims 2, 3, 5, 29, 30, 32, 56, 57, 59, 83, 84 and 86 are amended. Claims 1, 4, 6-28, 31, 33-55, 58, 60-82, 85 and 87-107 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 28, 55 and 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The exact scope of the claim is not clear because Kiso and K'iso are not clearly defined so as to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. While an applicant may be his own lexicographer, he must be clear as to his definition. In the present situation, one cannot exactly ascertain what must be read into the terms "Kiso" and "K'iso"; these terms are mentioned in a multitude of occasions in the specification without clearly guiding which part of the context of the terms "Kiso" and "K'iso" where it is mentioned is to be read into the terms and into the claims. For the purpose of examining the claims, the term "Kiso" and "K'iso" shall be read as broadly as encryption decryption keys.

Claims 1, 55 and 82 recite the limitations "another information processing apparatus", "the other information processing apparatus" and "the apparatus"

throughout the claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4, 6-28, 31, 33-55, 58, 60-82, 85 and 87-107 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Traw et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,542,610).

In respect to claim 1, Traw discloses an information processing system comprising:

A first information processing apparatus comprising:

An interface having an isochronous transmission mode in which a transmission band is ensured and A transmission control means for controlling encrypted data in the transmission band wherein the encrypted data is encrypted using an encryption key Kiso and then transmitted in the isochronous transmission mode via the interface (e.g. col. 10, lines 11-34)

Wherein the transmission control means executes, prior to data transmission, a protocol for performing mutual authentication and sharing a plurality of encryption keys

with another information processing apparatus to which the encrypted data is to be transmitted, and from which an authentication request is to be received, and

Wherein the first information processing apparatus encrypts the data following said authentication request from the other information processing apparatus (e.g. col. 4, lines 39-47 and col. 9, line 53-col. 10, line 5); and

A second information processing apparatus comprising:

An interface having the isochronous transmission mode in which a transmission band is ensured and a receiving control means for reception of code data received by the apparatus from another information processing apparatus (e.g. col. 10, lines 11-50),

Wherein the coded data which is received in the isochronous transmission mode via the interface is decoded using an encryption key K_{iso} wherein the receiving control means executes, prior to data reception, a protocol for performing mutual authentication and sharing a plurality of encryption keys with another information processing apparatus from which the code data is to be received and to which an authentication request is to be transmitted, and wherein the second information processing apparatus decodes the data following an authentication request to be the other information processing apparatus (e.g. col. 4, lines 39-58, col. 6, lines 34-40 and col. 9, line 53-col. 10, line 5).

In respect to claim 4, Traw discloses the information processing system as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first information processing apparatus and the second information processing apparatus are connected with each other via an interface conforming to the IEEE (the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers) 1394 standard, for transmitting data requiring the assurance of a transmission band in an

isochronous transmission mode and for transmitting related data relating to the data in an asynchronous transmission mode (e.g. col. 10, lines 27-34).

In respect to claim 6, Traw discloses the information processing system as claimed in claim 1 wherein the second information processing apparatus generates two random numbers and transmits them to the first information processing apparatus, the first information processing apparatus generates two random numbers and transmits them to the second information processing apparatus, the first information processing apparatus generates an encryption key used for encrypting the data to be transmitted in the first transmission mode and an encryption key used for encrypting the data to be transmitted in the second transmission mode on the basis of information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the generated random number and the received random number, and the second information processing apparatus generates an encryption key used for decoding the data transmitted in the first transmission mode and an encryption key used for decoding the data transmitted in the second transmission mode on the basis of information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the generated random number and the received random number (e.g. col. 6, lines 1-66).

In respect to claim 7, Traw discloses the information processing system as claimed in claim 6, wherein the first information processing apparatus transmits data P generated on the basis of the information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the generated random number and the received random number, to the second information processing apparatus, the second information processing apparatus transmits data Q generated on the basis of the information indicating the validity of the

apparatus itself, the generated random number and the received random number, to the first information processing apparatus, the first information processing apparatus generates an encryption key used for encrypting the data to be transmitted in the first transmission mode and an encryption key used for encrypting the data to be transmitted in the second transmission mode in the case where data Q' generated on the basis of the information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the generated random number and the received random number is coincident with the received data Q, and the second information processing apparatus generates an encryption key used for decoding the data transmitted in the first transmission mode and an encryption key used for decoding the data transmitted in the second transmission mode in the case where data P' generated on the basis of the information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the generated random number and the received random number is coincident with the received data P (e.g. col. 6, lines 1-67).

In respect to claim 8, Traw discloses the information processing system as claimed in claim 7, wherein the second information processing apparatus generates two random numbers R1 and R2 and transmits them to the first information processing apparatus, the first information processing apparatus generates two random numbers S1 and S2 and transmits them to the second information processing apparatus, the first information processing apparatus transmits data P generated on the basis of information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the generated random number S2 and the received random number R2, to the second information processing apparatus, the second information processing apparatus transmits data Q generated on

the basis of information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the received random number S1 and the generated random number R1, to the first information processing apparatus, the first information processing apparatus generates an encryption key K1 used for encrypting the data to be transmitted in the first transmission mode and an encryption key K2 used for encrypting the data to be transmitted in the second transmission mode in the case where data Q' generated on the basis of the information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the generated random number S1 and the received random number R1 is coincident with the received data Q, and the second information processing apparatus generates an encryption key K'1 used for decoding the data transmitted in the first transmission mode and an encryption key K'2 used for decoding the data transmitted in the second transmission mode in the case where data P' generated on the basis of the information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the received random number S2 and the generated random number R2 is coincident with the received data P (e.g. col. 6, lines 1-67).

In respect to claim 9, Traw discloses the information processing system as claimed in claim 8, wherein the first information processing apparatus generates the encryption key K1 used for encrypting the data to be transmitted in the first transmission mode, on the basis of the result of calculation of a unidirectional function using the information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the generated random number S1 and the received random number R1, and generates the encryption key K2 used for encrypting the data to be transmitted in the Second transmission mode, on the basis of the result of calculation of a unidirectional function using the information indicating the

validity of the apparatus itself, the generated random number S2 and the received random number R2, and the second information processing apparatus generates the encryption key K'1 used for decoding the data transmitted in the first transmission mode, on the basis of the result of calculation of a unidirectional function using the information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the received random number S1 and the generated random number R1, and generates the encryption key K'2 used for decoding the data transmitted in the second transmission mode, on the basis of the result of calculation of a unidirectional function using the information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the received random number S2 and the generated random number R2 (e.g. col. 6, lines 1-67 and col. 7, lines 5-55).

In respect to claim 10, Traw discloses the information processing system as claimed in claim 9, wherein the first information processing apparatus transmits data P generated on the basis of the result of calculation of a unidirectional function using the information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the generated random number S2 and the received random number R2, to the second information processing apparatus, the second information processing apparatus transmits data Q generated on the basis of the result of calculation of a unidirectional function using the information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the received random number S1 and the generated random number R1, to the second information processing apparatus, the first information processing apparatus generates the encryption key K1 used for encrypting the data to be transmitted in the first transmission mode and the encryption key K2 used for encrypting the data to be transmitted in the second transmission mode in the case

where data Q' generated on the basis of the result of calculation of a unidirectional function using the information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the generated random number S1 and the received random number R1 is coincident with the received data Q, and the second information processing apparatus generates the encryption key K' used for decoding the data transmitted in the first transmission mode and the encryption key K'2 used for decoding the data transmitted in the second transmission mode in the case where data P' generated on the basis of the result of calculation of a unidirectional function using the information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the received random number S2 and the generated random number R2 is coincident with the received data P (e.g. col. 6, lines 1-67, col. 7, lines 5-55).

In respect to claim 11, Traw discloses the information processing system as claimed in claim 9, wherein the first information processing apparatus and the second information processing apparatus generate the encryption key K1, the encryption key K2, the encryption key K'1 and the encryption key K'2, using a bit value of a part of the result of calculation of the unidirectional function (e.g. col. 6, lines 1-67, col. 7, lines 5-55).

In respect to claim 12, Traw discloses the information processing system as claimed in claim 11, wherein the first information processing apparatus and the second information processing apparatus generate the data P, the data Q', the data Q and the data P', using a bit value of a part of the result of calculation of the unidirectional function (e.g., col. 6, lines 1-67, col. 7, lines 5-55).

In respect to claim 13, Traw discloses the information processing system as claimed in claim 11, wherein the first information processing apparatus and the second information processing apparatus generate the encryption key K1, the encryption key K2, the encryption key K'1 and the encryption key K'2, using a least significant n bits of the result of calculation of the unidirectional function (e.g. col. 6, lines 1-67 and col. 7, lines 5-55).

In respect to claim 14, Traw discloses the information processing system as claimed in claim 13, wherein the first information processing apparatus and the second information processing apparatus generate the data P, the data Q', the data Q' and the data P',
using a most significant m bits of the result of calculation of the unidirectional function (e.g. col. 7, lines 5-55).

In respect to claims 15-16, the claim limitations are similar to claims 9-11.
Therefore claims 15-16 are rejected based on the similar rationale.

In respect to claim 17, Traw discloses the information processing system as claimed in claim 6, wherein the first information processing apparatus and the second information processing apparatus generate either one of the encryption key used for encrypting the data to be transmitted in the first transmission mode and the encryption key used for encrypting the data to be transmitted in the second transmission mode, on the basis of the information indicating the validity of the apparatus itself, the generated random number and the received random number, and generate the encryption key of

Art Unit: 2134

the other transmission mode on the basis of the generated encryption key; the generated random number and the received random number (e.g. col. 3, lines 10-30).

In respect to claims 18-27, the claim limitation is similar to claim 7-16. Therefore claim 18 is rejected based on the similar rationale.

In respect to claims 31-54 and 58-81, the claim limitations are similar to system claims 1-27. Therefore, claims 31-54 and 58-81 are rejected based on the similar rationale.


In respect to claims 28, 55 and 82, the claim limitations are similar to claim 1. Therefore, claims 28, 55 and 82 are rejected based on the similar rationale.

Conclusion


4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tongoc Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3843. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on (571) 272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Examiner: Tongoc Tran
Art Unit: 2134

December 5, 2005


GREGORY
SUPERVISOR
TECHNICAL